

An Ultrasensitive Nanowire-Transistor Biosensor for Detecting **Dopamine Release from Living PC12 Cells under Hypoxic Stimulation**

Bor-Ran Li,[†] Ying-Jhu Hsieh,[‡] Yan-Xi Chen,[‡] Ya-Ting Chung,[§] Chien-Yuan Pan,[§] and Yit-Tsong Chen*,^{†,‡}

[†]Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 23-166, Taipei 106, Taiwan

[‡]Department of Chemistry and [§]Department of Life Science, National Taiwan University, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 106, Taiwan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Dopamine (DA) is an important neurotransmitter that is involved in neuronal signal transduction and several critical illnesses. However, the concentration of DA is extremely low in patients and is difficult to detect using existing electrochemical biosensors with detection limits typically around nanomolar levels ($\sim 10^{-9}$ M). Here, we developed a nanoelectronic device as a biosensor for ultrasensitive and selective DA detection by modifying DNA-aptamers on a multiple-parallel-connected (MPC) silicon nanowire field-effect transistor (referred to as MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET). Compared with conventional electrochemical methods, the MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET has been demonstrated to improve the limit of DA detection to $<10^{-11}$ M and to possess a detection specificity that is able to distinguish DA from other chemical analogues, such as ascorbic acid, catechol, phenethylamine, tyrosine, epinephrine, and norepinephrine. This MPC aptamer/ SiNW-FET was also applied to monitor DA release under hypoxic stimulation from living PC12 cells. The real-time recording of the exocytotic DA induced by hypoxia reveals that the increase in intracellular Ca2+ that is required to trigger DA secretion is dominated by an extracellular Ca²⁺ influx, rather than the release of intracellular Ca²⁺ stores.

opamine (DA) is an important neurotransmitter that plays many important roles in the nervous, cardiovascular, and renal systems and regulates various physiological activities. When mammals do not have enough oxygen, their brains send out signals, through DA, to increase the breathing rate and blood circulation to reoxygenate the organs.¹ In addition to regulating physiological activities, DA is also related to several critical illnesses. In the basal ganglia of the brain, DA is a neurotransmitter that plays a vital role in Parkinson's disease, which is a notorious nervous dysfunction associated with vibrating limbs during the early stages and dementia in the advanced stages.² Schizophrenia has been shown to increase DA activity in the dopaminergic pathway and to reduce DA in the cortex.³ Clinically, an abnormal DA level in either the urine or blood indicates pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas,^{4,5} which are rare tumors arising in neural crest tissue. Consequently, quickly detecting and accurately quantifying DA levels is important for cellular investigation and biomedical diagnosis.

Among the existing tools that detect DA, electrochemical methods are dominant because of their speed and convenience. However, the oxidation potential of DA overlaps with that of many other substances in urine, blood, and the central nervous system (e.g., ascorbic acid (AA)). Moreover, the concentration of DA in the extracellular fluid of Parkinson's disease patients and in the urine/blood of patients with pheochromocytomas or paragangliomas is extremely low $(<10^{-10} \text{ M})^6$ and very difficult to detect at the normal concentrations of a clinical sample using conventional electrochemical methods (typically with detection limits no better than nanomolar, as listed in Table S1 of the Supporting Information (SI)). Therefore, a new DA sensor with high detection sensitivity and target selectivity is highly desired.

Over the past decade, silicon nanowire field-effect transistors (SiNW-FETs) have attracted great attention for applications in ultrasensitive biomolecular detections.⁷⁻¹⁶ A SiNW has a large surface-to-volume ratio, which allows a small variation in the local charges on the wire surface to result in a significant conductance change inside the SiNW-FET due to an electricfield effect. SiNW-FETs modified with selected receptor molecules can be used as biosensors to detect specific targets in real-time with label-free, sensitive, and selective sensing. By judiciously selecting a suitable DA recognizer to attach to the SiNW-FET, these functionalized, nanoelectronic devices can be excellent biosensors for the highly sensitive and selective detection of DA.

The bioanalytes used for molecular recognition with SiNW-FETs are mostly large in size, have high molecular weights, and carry large charges, which can exert a strong electric field that facilitates detection by FETs.^{7,17} It is comparatively challenging for FET-based biosensors to recognize weakly charged, small molecules, such as DA. To date, only a few small molecules have been studied with SiNW-FETs (and/or carbon nanotube-FETs), e.g., the label-free detections of adenosine-5'-triphosphate¹⁸ and glutamate.¹⁹ For sensing DA in this study, we built a multipleparallel-connected (MPC) SiNW-FET with greater reliability and higher sensitivity than a traditional single-channel SiNW-FET. This higher sensitivity is essential for recognition of weakly charged, small biomolecules.

As illustrated in Figure 1A, the electrical measurements of an MPC SiNW-FET were conducted with a lock-in amplifier, and

Received: August 16, 2013 Published: October 14, 2013



Figure 1. (A) Illustration of the experimental setup of a DNA-aptamermodified MPC SiNW-FET device for detecting exocytotic DA under hypoxic stimulation from living PC12 cells. (B) Optical microscopy image of an MPC SiNW-FET device. S = source; D = drain. (C) Procedure for immobilization of the DNA-aptamer on an MPC SiNW-FET (details in sections S3 and S4 of the Supporting Information). Abbreviations: APTMS, (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane; PTMS, propyltrimethoxysilane; MBS, 3-maleimidobenzoic acid *N*-hydroxysuccinimide ester. The drawing is not to scale.

the solution gate voltage was supplied by a data acquisition (DAQ) system through a platinum electrode. Details of the device fabrication and the electrical measurements of the MPC SiNW-FETs are described in sections S1-S5 of the SI. Each unit of the MPC SiNW-FET devices comprises hundreds of p-type single-crystalline SiNWs (~20 nm in diameter each) as conducting channels, which were connected by two sets of comb-like source and drain electrodes (Figure 1B and details in Figure S1A of the SI). Electrical characterizations of MPC SiNW-FETs reveal that the nanoelectronic devices have ohmic contacts (Figure S1B) and high transconductance with a typical value of 600 nS at a source-drain bias voltage (V_{sd}) of 10 mV (Figure S1C). Compared with a traditional SiNW-FET, whose conducting channel is composed of only a single or a few SiNWs, the MPC SiNW-FET system possesses remarkably higher detection sensitivity (i.e., larger transconductance) and a better signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in electrical measurements (Figure S2).

In this work, we chose a DNA-aptamer^{20,21} with high specific binding to DA as the receptor to be modified on an MPC SiNW-FET. The immobilization of the DNA-aptamers was designed to modify only the SiNWs surface without contaminating the surrounding substrate of the FET chip, which substantially enhances the detection sensitivity, as we demonstrated earlier.^{22,23} The DNA-aptamer-modified MPC SiNW-FET (referred to as aptamer/SiNW-FET hereafter) has several advantages for molecular recognition by affinity-based biosensors. First, an aptamer has a smaller molecular size than conventional receptors (e.g., enzymes or antibodies); therefore, the captured DA molecules are closer to the SiNW-FET during the sensing measurement, resulting in a stronger electrical field exerted from the DA that modulates the conductance inside SiNW-FET and gives optimal detection signals. Second, an aptamer formed by oligonucleic acids is thermally stable. This stability is in sharp contrast to proteins and antibodies, which may lose their functionality irreversibly under thermal/chemical

attacks. Moreover, denatured aptamers are able to recover their function by self-refolding.

An artificial single-strain DNA oligonucleotide of 57-mer (HS-5'-GTC TCT GTG TGC GCC AGA GAC ACT GGG GCA GAT ATG GGC CAG CAC AGA ATG AGG CCC-3', synthesized by MDBio) was adopted as the aptamer for DA sensing. The sequence was vitro-selected from random RNA pools² and was confirmed to retain DA affinity in the DNA form.²⁰ Although the crystal structure of this DA binding aptamer is still unresolved, the tertiary structure, according to the biochemical data from previous research,²¹ should be highly compact and consists of two major stem-loop domains enclosing five DA binding sites (Figure S3). The immobilization procedures of the aptamers on an MPC SiNW-FET are illustrated schematically in Figure 1C (details in sections S3 and S4 of the SI). We first test the binding ability of the aptamer to various molecules including AA (which is the major interfering molecule present in cells and has a redox potential close to DA), catechol (CT) and phenethylamine (PEA) (structurally similar to DA), tyrosine (TR) (a biomolecule involved in the DA metabolism), and epinephrine (EP) and norepinephrine (NE) (other catecholamines).

Figure 2A plots the relationships between the source–drain current (I_{sd}) and the gate voltage (V_g) of an aptamer/SiNW-FET



Figure 2. $I_{\rm sd} - V_{\rm g}$ curves measured with (A) an aptamer/SiNW-FET and (B) a PTMS-modified SiNW-FET without aptamer modification (referred to as a bare SiNW-FET hereafter) for the detection of 0.1× PBS buffer, 1 μ M ascorbic acid (AA), 1 μ M catechol (CT), 1 μ M phenethylamine (PEA), 1 μ M tyrosine (TR), 10 nM epinephrine (EP), 10 nM dopamine (DA), and 10 nM norepinephrine (NE). (C) Calibrated responses ($\Delta V_{\rm g}^{\rm cal}$) of the various biochemicals relative to that of DA (100%). The error bars present the standard deviations of three measurements.

device in response to various molecules dissolved in 0.1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, composed of 13.7 mM NaCl, 270 μ M KCl, 1 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 200 μ M KH₂PO₄ in NaOH, pH 7.2). While 10 nM DA caused a prominent shift of the $I_{\rm sd}-V_{\rm g}$ curve, AA, CT, PEA, and TR at 1 μ M had little effect on the curves, and 10 nM of EP and NE induced limited changes. As a control test (Figure 2B), a bare SiNW-FET device without modification of the DNA-aptamer had no response to these molecules. To avoid variation among the different devices,²⁴ the measured current change due to the receptor–target binding ($\Delta I_{\rm sd}$ at $V_{\rm g}$ = –90 mV, relative to the buffer solution in Figure 2A) was converted to the change in $V_{\rm g}$ (termed the calibrated

response and represented by $\Delta V_{\rm g}^{\rm cal}$ as illustrated in Figure S4) according to the $I_{\rm sd}-V_{\rm g}$ transfer curve of the FET device used. Changes induced by the tested buffers containing AA (1 μ M), CT (1 μ M), PEA (1 μ M), TR (1 μ M), EP (10 nM), DA (10 nM), and NE (10 nM) are summarized in Figure 2C. Although those two catecholamines of EP and NE caused significant $\Delta V_{\rm g}^{\rm cal}$ of 25 \pm 4% and 50 \pm 5%, respectively, all other non-catecholamines induced changes less than 10% despite the 100-fold greater concentration that was used. These results indicate the specificity of the DNA-aptamer in distinguishing catecholamines from other molecules. Moreover, the association of DA with an aptamer of mutations at the binding sites (referred to as mutaptamer) is very weak as shown in Figure S5, where 10 nM DA induced only a small change of $\Delta V_{\rm g}^{\rm cal}$ < 10% in a mut-aptamer/ SiNW-FET.

We next determined the binding affinity of the DNA-aptamer to various catecholamines. The test was carried out with the analytes at 10^{-13} – 10^{-7} M in 0.1× PBS. Figure 3A shows the I_{sd} –



Figure 3. (A) Measured $I_{sd}-V_g$ curves of an aptamer/SiNW-FET in response to different DA concentrations ($C_{DA} = 0-100$ nM in 0.1× PBS at pH 7.2). The magnified scale is shown in the inset. (B) Normalized plot of $\Delta V_{gDA}^{cl}/\Delta V_{gDA}^{cl,max}$ (where $\Delta V_{gDA}^{cl,max}$ is the saturated ΔV_{gDA}^{cl}) as a function of C_{DA} is summarized from the data in (A). The inset shows the least-squares fit to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model (section S6 of the SI), yielding $K_d = 120 \pm 10$ pM for the aptamer-DA complex.

Vg plots of the aptamer/SiNW-FET measured at various DA concentrations (C_{DA}) , where the data were subsequently converted to the calibrated response $(\Delta V_{\text{gDA}}^{\text{cal}})$ as a function of $C_{\rm DA}$ in Figure 3B. The inset in Figure 3B plots the $C_{\rm DA}/\Delta V_{\rm g,DA}^{\rm cal}$ against various C_{DA} and the dissociation constant (K_d) of the aptamer-DA complex was 120 ± 10 pM, which was determined from the least-squares fit to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model (details in section S6 of the SI).^{7,22} As displayed in Figure S6, the linear working range of the aptamer/SiNW-FET biosensor for DA detection spanned from 10^{-11} to 10^{-8} M. Similarly, the K_d values of the aptamer-EP and aptamer-NE complexes were 6.03 \pm 1.85 nM and 910 \pm 270 pM, respectively (Figure S7). These data show that the affinity of the DNAaptamer for DA is approximately 50- and 10-fold over EP and NE, respectively. Because of this affinity for DA and additional biological DA in some living systems (e.g., PC12 cells contain 10fold more DA than other catecholamines²⁵ and dopaminergic neurons synthesize exclusively DA), this aptamer/SiNW-FET can be used as a unique biosensor for practical DA detection in cellular investigation and clinical diagnosis.

In addition to selectivity and sensitivity, real-time detection allows the SiNW-FET biosensor to monitor the cellular response to drug treatment or environmental alteration. Although many time-dependent measurements using techniques that do not have real-time responses have been used to detect the DA release from cells, these measurements usually require hours of work for sample collection and DA recognition using HPLC purification and mass identification. In comparison, Figure 4A shows a



Figure 4. (A) Real-time recording of 10 pM DA by aptamer/SiNW-FET. The analytes were driven by a micropump (0.3 mL/h) through a PDMS microfluidic channel. (B) Illustration of a hypothetical mechanism of cellular DA secretion under hypoxic stimulation. The escalation of intracellular Ca²⁺ to trigger DA exocytosis is speculated to occur via the pathway of either extracellular Ca²⁺ influx (solid lines)²⁰ or intracellular Ca²⁺ store release²¹ (dashed lines). (C) Real-time recording of PC12 cells that are seeded with aptamer/SiNW-FET (bottom inset: optical microscopy image) in response to the addition of 70% $C_{0,0}$ reduced hypoxic buffer (section S8 of the SI). Top inset shows a control test using the same aptamer/SiNW-FET but without cells seeding. Arrows indicate the addition of hypoxic buffer. (D) Real-time recordings of the DA release from PC12 cells under different hypoxic stimulations: (upper trace) 35% $C_{\rm O_2}$ reduced buffer, (middle trace) 70% C_{O_2} reduced buffer, and (bottom trace) 70% C_{O_2} reduced buffer containing 1 mM CdI₂.

representative real-time recording using an aptamer/SiNW-FET, where 10 pM DA was detected (S/N > 5) with a conductance change of \sim 100 nS within 1.5 min at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/h. In a further test of the aptamer/SiNW-FET, we attempted to conduct real-time recordings of the DA release under hypoxic stimulation from living PC12 cells.

For mammalian cells, adequate oxygen is essential for their survival; therefore, mammals undergo hypoxia-induced cellular processes to tolerate an oxygen deprivation environment. Such examples include the release of transmitters from neuronal cells. The hypoxic effect on PC12 cells induces the release of DA through exocytosis, which involves an increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ in the stimulus–secretion coupling.²⁶ However, the cellular mechanism of coupling hypoxic stimulation with DA secretion is complicated; whether the pathway involved in increasing intracellular Ca^{2+} and triggering DA exocytosis via either extracellular Ca^{2+} influx²⁷ or release of intracellular Ca^{2+} stores²⁸ (as illustrated in Figure 4B) is still under debate. In this test, we tried to apply the aptamer/SiNW-FET to resolve these controversial pathways. The experimental setup of an aptamer/ SiNW-FET for real-time recording of the exocytotic DA under hypoxic stimulation from living PC12 cells is illustrated in Figure 1A, where PC12 cells cultured in a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) well (section S7 of the SI) were applied directly on the SiNW-FET device (bottom inset of Figure 4C). As shown in Figure 4C, a progressive increase in the DA efflux was recorded while the PC12 cells suffered from a hypoxia of a 70% decrease in the environmental O_2 concentration (C_{O_2}) ; meanwhile, the increase in DA reached equilibrium within 0.5 min. As a control experiment, no significant change in electrical conductance was

observed with an aptamer/SiNW-FET without cell seeding (upper inset of Figure 4C). Moreover, the DA release by hypoxic stimulation is not linearly correlated to the reduced $C_{0,i}$ where the exocytotic DA could not be detected until the C_{0_2} was reduced by more than 70% (Figure 4D). This observation indicates that the deoxygenization via minor hypoxic stimulation (e.g., 35% reduced C_{O_2}) is not sufficient to trigger the membrane potential depolarization, leading to the activation of the voltagegated Ca²⁺ channels to elevate the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration and trigger neurotransmitter release. In addition, after the Ca²⁺ channels had been blocked by cadmium ions (by adding 1 mM CdI₂), PC12 cells failed to release DA in spite of hypoxic stimulation (Figure 4D). These results reveal that the increase in intracellular Ca2+ that triggers the DA secretion following hypoxic stimulation is dominated by the influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} .

In summary, an MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET was demonstrated for the first time to be a reliable DA sensor. Furthermore, the nanoelectronic biosensor possesses the advantages of high sensitivity and selectivity and can be used in real-time, labelfree detections. The MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET has a strong binding affinity for DA that is at least 10-fold over the rival catecholamines of NE and EP; these three catecholamines could not be discerned using conventional electrochemical methods. The ultrasensitive MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET, capable of probing DA down to 10^{-11} M, can be used as a practical biosensor to detect DA at the extremely low concentration level $(<10^{-10} \text{ M})$ in the extracellular fluid of Parkinson's disease patients and in the urine/blood of patients with pheochromocytomas or paragangliomas.⁶ Using this MPC aptamer/SiNW-FET for DA detection, we have successfully monitored DA release from living PC12 cells following hypoxia-induced cellular secretion. DA secretion following hypoxic stimulation has been verified to be coupled with extracellular Ca²⁺ influx. Finally, this novel bionanoelectronic device, which is capable of integrating with living cell systems, adds a new item to the biosensor toolbox for the future studies of cell biology and clinical disease diagnosis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Figures S1–S8 and methods. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

ytcchem@ntu.edu.tw

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under NSC 101-2627-M-002-004 and 101-2627-M-002-013. B.-R.L. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Academia Sinica. Technical support from NanoCore, the Core Facilities for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology at Academia Sinica, is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

(1) Urena, J.; Fernandezchacon, R.; Benot, A. R.; Detoledo, G. A.; Lopezbarneo, J. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1994**, *91*, 10208. (2) Kim, J. H.; Auerbach, J. M.; Rodriguez-Gomez, J. A.; Velasco, I.; Gavin, D.; Lumelsky, N.; Lee, S. H.; Nguyen, J.; Sanchez-Pernaute, R.; Bankiewicz, K.; McKay, R. *Nature* **2002**, *418*, 50.

(3) Meyer-Lindenberg, A.; Miletich, R. S.; Kohn, P. D.; Esposito, G.; Carson, R. E.; Quarantelli, M.; Weinberger, D. R.; Berman, K. F. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2002**, *5*, 267.

(4) Pacak, K.; Eisenhofer, G.; Ahlman, H.; Bornstein, S. R.; Gimenez-Roqueplo, A. P.; Grossman, A. B.; Kimura, N.; Mannelli, M.; McNicol, A. M.; Tischler, A. S. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Endocrinol.* **2007**, *3*, 92.

(5) Eisenhofer, G.; Goldstein, D. S.; Sullivan, P.; Csako, G.; Brouwers, F. M.; Lai, E. W.; Adams, K. T.; Pacak, K. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2005**, *90*, 2068.

(6) Davis, K. L.; Kahn, R. S.; Ko, G.; Davidson, M. Am. J. Psychiatry 1991, 148, 1474.

(7) Chen, K. I.; Li, B. R.; Chen, Y. T. Nano Today 2011, 6, 131.

(8) Cui, Y.; Wei, Q. Q.; Park, H. K.; Lieber, C. M. Science 2001, 293, 1289.

(9) Bunimovich, Y. L.; Shin, Y. S.; Yeo, W.-S.; Amori, M.; Kwong, G.; Heath, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 16323.

(10) Stern, E.; Klemic, J. F.; Routenberg, D. A.; Wyrembak, P. N.; Turner-Evans, D. B.; Hamilton, A. D.; LaVan, D. A.; Fahmy, T. M.; Reed, M. A. *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 519.

(11) Lin, T. W.; Hsieh, P. J.; Lin, C. L.; Fang, Y. Y.; Yang, J. X.; Tsai, C. C.; Chiang, P. L.; Pan, C. Y.; Chen, Y. T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2010**, *107*, 1047.

(12) Lin, S. P.; Pan, C. Y.; Tseng, K. C.; Lin, M. C.; Chen, C. D.; Tsai, C. C.; Yu, S. H.; Sun, Y. C.; Lin, T. W.; Chen, Y. T. *Nano Today* **2009**, *4*, 235.

(13) Gao, X. P. A.; Zheng, G. F.; Lieber, C. M. Nano Lett. 2010, 10, 547.
(14) Zhang, G. J.; Chua, J. H.; Chee, R. E.; Agarwal, A.; Wong, S. M. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2009, 24, 2504.

(15) Pui, T. S.; Agarwal, A.; Ye, F.; Huang, Y. X.; Chen, P. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2011, 26, 2746.

(16) Zheng, G. F.; Patolsky, F.; Cui, Y.; Wang, W. U.; Lieber, C. M. Nat. Biotechnol. 2005, 23, 1294.

(17) Zayats, M.; Huang, Y.; Gill, R.; Ma, C. A.; Willner, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13666.

(18) Wang, W. U.; Chen, C.; Lin, K. H.; Fang, Y.; Lieber, C. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005, 102, 3208.

(19) Lee, G. J.; Lim, J. E.; Park, J. H.; Choi, S. K.; Hong, S.; Park, H. K. Curr. Appl. Phys. **2009**, *9*, S25.

(20) Walsh, R.; DeRosa, M. C. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2009, 388, 732.

(21) Mannironi, C.; DiNardo, A.; Fruscoloni, P.; TocchiniValentini, G. P. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 9726.

(22) Li, B. R.; Chen, C. W.; Yang, W. L.; Lin, T. Y.; Pan, C. Y.; Chen, Y. T. Biosens. Bioelectron. **2013**, 45, 252.

(23) Lin, T. Y.; Li, B. R.; Tsai, S. T.; Chen, C. W.; Chen, C. H.; Chen, Y. T.; Pan, C. Y. *Lab Chip* **2013**, *13*, 676.

(24) Ishikawa, F. N.; Curreli, M.; Chang, H. K.; Chen, P. C.; Zhang, R.; Cote, R. J.; Thompson, M. E.; Zhou, C. W. ACS Nano **2009**, *3*, 3969.

(25) Ahnert-Hilger, G.; Brautigam, M.; Gratzl, M. *Biochemistry* 1987, 26, 7842.

(26) Kumar, G. K.; Overholt, J. L.; Bright, G. R.; Hui, K. Y.; Lu, H. W.; Gratzl, M.; Prabhakar, N. R. Am. J. Physiol.-Cell Physiol. **1998**, 274, C1592.

(27) Miao, Y. L.; Stein, P.; Jefferson, W. N.; Padilla-Banks, E.; Williams, C. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **2012**, 109, 4169.

(28) Syntichaki, P.; Tavernarakis, N. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2003, 4, 672.